

SECTION 131 FORM

File With _____ S. 3

Appeal NO: ABP 314485

TO: SEO

Defer Re O/H ☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 23/12/24
from Mark Levins I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new issues

E.O.: [Signature]

Date: 2/1/25

To EO: _____

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐

Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission to: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

SA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORMAppeal No: ABP 314485Please treat correspondence received on 23/12/24 as follows:

Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

Acknowledge with BP 23Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐

Amendments/Comments

Resp Recd

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(b) GIS Processing ☒(c) Processing ☒(d) Screening ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐RETURN TO EO ☐Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamp Filled in ☐EO: [Signature]AA: F. WhelanDate: 2/1/25

Date:

Suzanne Cleary

From: Mark Levins <mark.levins@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2024 5:05 PM
To: Appeals2
Subject: ABP Case #: 314485

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing following up on my previous observation to An Bord Pleanala regarding case number 314485 "A proposed development comprising the taking of a relevant action only within the meaning of Section 34C of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, which relates to the night-time use of the runway system at Dublin Airport".

As a resident of Ridgewood in Swords, with my house 1km from the new runway, myself and my family are already victim to poor planning as I contend that either my house OR the north runway should have been built.

Allowing further night time flights from Dublin airport has the following health and wellbeing consequences for my family and for the residents in nearby housing estates in south Swords:

- Regularly interrupted sleep, something already happening but with much greater potential should planning be granted. There are no noise abatement steps taken to support local communities or address the health implications of round the clock flights.
It is also fully expected that more freight flights will happen during the night, traffic that typically uses older and louder aircraft.
- While Objective DAO16 supports a Noise Quota System (NQS), this is not a concrete definition or enforceable in any meaningful way and represents a 'smoke and mirrors' distraction to the planning application. I would request a tangible proposal detailing what this would mean and that it could never change.
- The DAA are already violating planning permissions for nighttime flights (and for passenger traffic) and cannot be trusted to adhere to planning restrictions, granting approval in this case effectively enables them to push further, in line with their current form.
- Air quality and fumes that are especially noticeable on calm days, 24 hour use of the airport will reduce the time for air quality to recover
- With increased nighttime flights the airport will become busier and it is already at capacity, housing estates in Swords are now seeing DAA customers/airline passengers parking cars in Swords housing estates.

Sincerely,
Mark Levins